New EU Global Health Strategy - Public Consultation

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

There have been major changes since the 2010 EU global health strategy, and so a review is now necessary.

In the past decade, we have understood, more than ever, **the many related factors affecting health** and the need to address them in a comprehensive, joined-up way. This would also enable the EU to better tackle health inequalities and fend off global threats, all based on its commitments to human rights and health equity.

Climate change and the destruction of natural habitats have increased the risk of animal viruses, which can cause pandemics. This brings the close links between the environment, animal and plant health, and human health sharply into focus (the 'One Health' approach).

There is also a better understanding of the complexity of gender's effect on health and of the link between lifestyle and nutrition; or between non-communicable diseases and mental health.

The **COVID-19 pandemic** has underlined the importance of effectively preparing for and reacting to emergencies. At the same time, it has slowed down or even reversed progress in meeting the international community's health goals set out in 2015 (the UN's Sustainable Development Goals). Most of all, it has been a call to reflect on how other EU policies, described below, can help fight global health threats.

- Health systems must be strengthened to withstand threats, and existing gaps in **international cooperation** must be closed to ensure the right to healthcare for everyone.
- A new approach to pharmaceuticals and technologies is necessary to ensure access for everyone to safe, high-quality, affordable and effective treatments, while boosting innovation to deal with neglected needs and diseases.
- **Digitalisation** is a powerful tool with huge potential to facilitate access to health and deal with global health needs. **Research** is an essential enabler as more powerful technologies become available.
- Social protection, education and skills are essential to make universal health coverage a reality and curb inequalities so that everyone has access to health services.

Finally, the global landscape has changed in the following two ways.

- 1. In a more geopolitical world, health has inevitably acquired a geopolitical dimension, linking with other policies such as trade or security. This confirms the need for a 'health in all policies' approach.
- 2. Many private and public players and initiatives have emerged, helping to tackle threats but making it more challenging to achieve an effective and coordinated approach.

As we advance our European Health Union, our international approach has been adapting to the fundamental changes outlined above. It is necessary now to set out a strategy that builds on the experience from the last decade and provides guidelines for the coming years in a complex environment. This will ensure, beyond pandemics, that the EU and its Member States can effectively improve the health of citizens, reduce health inequalities, protect against threats, and consolidate EU global leadership in health.

The new strategy should be considered together with the parallel communication on pandemic preparedness and response, which is also in preparation.

As confirmed by Eurobarometer surveys, **health is one of the topics for which EU citizens** see the greatest legitimacy for EU global action. This strategy should therefore reflect the views and concerns of the public and organisations concerned.

To provide guidelines for an updated global health strategy, this open consultation asks what policies and measures should the EU focus on and how this should be done. Each section starts with a summary of the current state of reflection in the Commission (which are not necessarily Commission positions). Respondents can then comment on these reflections and complement them.

About you

*Language of my contribution

- Bulgarian
- Croatian
- Czech
- Danish
- Dutch
- English
- Estonian
- Finnish
- French
- German
- Greek
- Hungarian
- Irish
- Italian
- Latvian
- Lithuanian
- Maltese
- Polish
- Portuguese
- Romanian

 \bigcirc

Slovak

- Slovenian
- Spanish
- Swedish
- * I am giving my contribution as
 - Academic/research institution
 - Business association
 - Company/business organisation
 - Consumer organisation
 - EU citizen
 - Environmental organisation
 - Non-EU citizen
 - Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
 - Public authority
 - Trade union
 - Other

If you selected 'Other' in the previous question, please specify below

- Professional health organisation
- Health professional
- Civil society organisation
- International organisation
- Governmental/multilateral organisation

* First name

Anna

*Surname

Spurek

* Email (this won't be published)

anna@greenrev.org

*Organisation name

255 character(s) maximum

*Organisation size

- Micro (1 to 9 employees)
- Small (10 to 49 employees)
- Medium (50 to 249 employees)
- Large (250 or more)

Organisation budget (please specify what percentage is of public funds if relevant)

Transparency register number

255 character(s) maximum

Check if your organisation is on the <u>transparency register</u>. It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

591829541571-12

* Country of origin

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

This list does not represent the official position of the European institutions with regard to the legal status or policy of the entities mentioned. It is a harmonisation of often divergent lists and practices.

Afghanistan	Djibouti	Libya	Saint Martin
Åland Islands	Dominica	Liechtenstein	Saint Pierre and
			Miquelon
Albania	Dominican	Lithuania	Saint Vincent
	Republic		and the
			Grenadines
Algeria	Ecuador	Luxembourg	Samoa
American Samoa	Egypt	Macau	San Marino
Andorra	El Salvador	Madagascar	São Tomé and
			Príncipe
Angola	Equatorial Guine	a [©] Malawi	Saudi Arabia
Anguilla	Eritrea	Malaysia	Senegal
Antarctica	Estonia	Maldives	Serbia
Antigua and	Eswatini	Mali	Seychelles
Barbuda			

Argentina	Ethiopia	Malta	Sierra Leone
Armenia	Falkland Islands	Marshall Islands	Singapore
Aruba	Faroe Islands	Martinique	Sint Maarten
Australia	Fiji	Mauritania	Slovakia
Austria	Finland	Mauritius	Slovenia
Azerbaijan	France	Mayotte	Solomon Islands
Bahamas	French Guiana	Mexico	Somalia
Bahrain	French Polynesia	a [©] Micronesia	South Africa
Bangladesh	French Southern	Moldova	South Georgia
	and Antarctic		and the South
	Lands		Sandwich
			Islands
Barbados	Gabon	Monaco	South Korea
Belarus	Georgia	Mongolia	South Sudan
Belgium	Germany	Montenegro	Spain
Belize	Ghana	Montserrat	Sri Lanka
Benin	Gibraltar	Morocco	Sudan
Bermuda	Greece	Mozambique	Suriname
Bhutan	Greenland	Myanmar/Burma	Svalbard and
			Jan Mayen
Bolivia	Grenada	Namibia	Sweden
Bonaire Saint	Guadeloupe	Nauru	Switzerland
Eustatius and			
Saba			
Bosnia and	Guam	Nepal	Syria
Herzegovina		A 1 1 A 1 A 1	
Botswana	Guatemala	Netherlands	Taiwan
Bouvet Island	Guernsey	New Caledonia	Tajikistan
Brazil	Guinea	New Zealand	Tanzania
British Indian	Guinea-Bissau	Nicaragua	Thailand
Ocean Territory		O Nimer	The Cambia
British Virgin	Guyana	Niger	The Gambia
Islands			Timor-Leste
 Brunei Bulgaria 	 Haiti 	 Nigeria Niuo 	
Bulgaria	-	Niue	Togo

		Heard Island and McDonald Islands	5			
Burkina Faso	0	Honduras	۲	Norfolk Island	0	Tokelau
Burundi	۲	Hong Kong	\bigcirc	Northern	۲	Tonga
				Mariana Islands		
Cambodia	0	Hungary	۲	North Korea	0	Trinidad and
						Tobago
Cameroon	۲	Iceland	0	North Macedonia	0	Tunisia
Canada	۲	India	۲	Norway	0	Turkey
Cape Verde	۲	Indonesia	0	Oman	0	Turkmenistan
Cayman Islands	۲	Iran	0	Pakistan	0	Turks and
_						Caicos Islands
Central African	0	Iraq	0	Palau	0	Tuvalu
Republic	_		_		_	
Chad	0	Ireland	0	Palestine	0	Uganda
Chile	\bigcirc	Isle of Man	\bigcirc	Panama	\bigcirc	Ukraine
China	0	Israel	0	Papua New	0	United Arab
-	_		_	Guinea	_	Emirates
Christmas Island	0	Italy	0	Paraguay	0	United Kingdom
Clipperton	0	Jamaica	0	Peru	0	United States
Cocos (Keeling)	0	Japan	0	Philippines	0	United States
Islands						Minor Outlying
						Islands
Colombia	0	Jersey	0	Pitcairn Islands	0	Uruguay
Comoros	0	Jordan	۲	Poland	0	US Virgin Islands
Congo	0	Kazakhstan	0	Portugal	0	Uzbekistan
Cook Islands	\bigcirc	Kenya	\bigcirc	Puerto Rico	\bigcirc	Vanuatu
Costa Rica	0	Kiribati	0	Qatar	0	Vatican City
Côte d'Ivoire	0	Kosovo	0	Réunion	0	Venezuela
Croatia	0	Kuwait	0	Romania	0	Vietnam
Cuba	0	Kyrgyzstan	0	Russia	0	Wallis and
_						Futuna
Curaçao	۲	Laos	0	Rwanda	0	Western Sahara
Cyprus	0	Latvia	0	Saint Barthélemy	0	Yemen
Czechia	0	Lebanon	0		0	Zambia

		Saint Helena		
		Ascension and		
		Tristan da Cunha		
Democratic	Lesotho	Saint Kitts and Zimbabwe		
Republic of the		Nevis		
Congo				
Denmark	Liberia	Saint Lucia		

* My organisation is from/I work in (if different from country of origin)

- EU member state
- Europe region (non EU)
- Middle East
- Asia
- Oceania
- Northern Africa
- Sub-Saharan Africa
- North America
- Latin America and the Caribbean

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of

respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

Part 1. Stakeholder input

The EU strategy should provide more structural and effective channels of dialogue with the public and stakeholders to ensure their views are fully considered and help adapt to a fast-changing environment. The annual Global Health Policy Forum (held on 21 June 2022 as part of the European Development Days) is an opportunity to collect input for this consultation and follow up the strategy's implementation after it has been adopted.

1. What are your ideas to improve stakeholder input and their channels to follow up the strategy's implementation?

1000 character(s) maximum

Mainstreaming public health in all existing dialogue bodies, e.g. EU Platform Animal Welfare - health in the context of people living close to agricultural production, health in the context of food quality and safety.

Part 2. Defining priorities

Considering the diverse issues outlined above, the EU global health strategy must identify a manageable number of key priorities. The priorities described below are interconnected at times, reflecting the complex nature of the issues addressed. However, each priority deserves individual attention.

Beyond the importance of ensuring proper pandemic prevention, preparedness and emergency response, four priority areas appear key to structure our strategy.

• Effective and resilient health systems are essential to tackle health inequalities, being prepared for health threats and responding to them effectively. This requires investment in the health workforce, community health systems, inclusive and quality services, and public health capacities. Effective and resilient health systems also need to leverage digitalisation and give timely access to affordable and innovative pharmaceuticals and other medical countermeasures.

Countries are generally more resilient to health threats when the overall population is in good health. This means stepping up efforts to achieve the health-related UN Sustainable Development Goals. Universal health coverage is a critical objective in this regard.

- A multi-sectoral, evidence-based 'One Health' approach is essential to mitigate the main risks from interactions between humans, animals and the environment, including the threat of antimicrobial resistance.
- Health issues have links with and are affected by many other policy areas. This means health has to be part of those policies (e.g. trade, global supply chain resilience, strategic autonomy) that are

essential in helping achieve health policy objectives. The EU should map all single market and external tools that could be activated to help meet its global health goals and set out the conditions for their use.

For a definition of health systems, see <u>WHO/Europe | Health systems</u>. For Sustainable Development Goals see <u>Sustainable Development Goals (SDG 3) | United Nations</u> <u>Western Europe (unric.org)</u>.

2. What priority should be given to the areas described above?

	Low	Medium	High
Improve pandemic prevention, preparedness and response	0	0	۲
Strengthen health systems	0	0	۲
Accelerate progress in achieving the health-related UN Sustainable Development Goals, in particular universal health coverage	0	۲	۲
Apply the 'One Health' approach comprehensively	0	0	۲
Ensure global health is part of all policies, including mapping and activating policies and tools that can contribute to health goals	0	۲	۲

3. Name five policies that have the most important impact on health goals in your view, and explain why.

1000 character(s) maximum

EU Farm 2 Fork strategy and Sustainable Food System Law - food system has a huge impact on health: a) meat, dairy products, eggs are the source of civilisation diseases, cancers, allergies blivestock production, especially intensive livestock production causes enormous damage to the environment, polluting the air, soil, water and thus affecting people's health and quality of life c) people living near animal farms suffer from upper respiratory tract diseases (Ministry of Health in Poland in 2022 commissioned an analysis in the province of animal production farms - Wielkopolska). EU Forest Strategy because forests protection is one of main areas that influnces the quality of human health (climate changes, air pollutions, biodiversity). CAP/ Common Agriculture Policy that is strongly linked to EU F2F goals and by financing certain types of production has a great impact on the future of planet. All policies linked to animal welfare - farmed animals.

4. What other priorities are more important than those described above? Why? Which of the issues above should be de-prioritised as a result?

1000 character(s) maximum

At the current moment, when national, EU regulations are failing it is necessary to take action for a green transformation of the food system. Along with fossil fuels, animal production is one of the greatest threats to human and non-human animal life and the future of the environment. EU Farm2Fork, CAP, Cohesion Policy, R&D activities should be focused on the transformation of agriculture and the food production system. It is worth adding that it is animal-based production that causes antibiotic resistance and contributes to pandemics.

5. What are the main obstacles to achieving these priorities? What measures need to be taken to overcome these obstacles?

1000 character(s) maximum

Animal Agriculture Lobby actions, politicians' fear of taking action that will provoke resistance from many people who have hitherto considered the plate to be a private matter. We need sistemic change - starting with education, local authorities food system policies, national strategies, redirection of all public funds to plant based sector.

Part 3. Ensuring robust governance

EU leadership has played a major role in fighting the COVID-19 pandemic in the EU and beyond, especially through the Team Europe approach. However, the EU's global impact can be boosted further, not least given its substantial financial contributions to global health. EU leadership should be strengthened in three ways, together with more effective communication.

The first way, which could have a big impact, is to lead by example and apply an effective and comprehensive health policy within our own borders . Showing we meet our own responsibilities is essential to be credible in our external action—where we ask partners to make significant efforts. There are areas where we could improve and boost its credibility. Examples include: (i) better measures against antimicrobial resistance; (ii) stepping up efforts to ensure universal access to safe, accessible, affordable and innovative pharmaceuticals and technologies to tackle infections and non-communicable diseases (including neglected diseases); (iii) an improved pandemic prevention, preparedness and response framework; and (iv) increasing digitalisation.

6. The importance of leading by example in each of these areas is...?

	Low	Medium	High
Universal access to health care	۲	۲	۲
Pandemic prevention, preparedness and response	0	0	۲
Vaccination levels	0	۲	0
Anti-microbial resistance	0	0	۲
Safe, affordable, accessible and innovative pharmaceuticals	0	۲	۲
Digitalisation	0	۲	۲
Following robust bioethics principles	0	0	۲

7. In what other areas should the EU lead by example? Why? Give a maximum of three areas.

500 character(s) maximum

antimicrobial resistance (new measures in CAP/EU F2F strategy), pandemic revention (closing intensive animal farms). EU should lead in ethical, just, green food system and agriculture transition. EU should in very strong way underline the role of animal agriculture in climate and health crisis.

The second way to strengthen EU leadership is to improve coordination so as to speak with one voice together with Member States, the European Parliament and stakeholders – at global, national and regional level.

8. How could this coordination be strengthened?

1000 character(s) maximum

By setting regulations, monitoring and financial consequences.

The third way to strengthen EU leadership is by ensuring the appropriate and full participation of the EU in international forums and initiatives, including in decision-making. This builds on the EU's commitment to multilateralism.

9. Do you agree or disagree that the EU needs to fully participate in international forums and initiatives?

- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree

10. How should the EU participate? In what forums and initiatives?

1000 character(s) maximum

The Covid -19 pandemic demonstrated in a precise way that health is not a matter for individuals or countries. It is a global issue. Therefore, the EU should not only adapt its actions, but be at the forefront of public health change. This will require: a very precise implementation of the ambitions of the European Green Deal, a move away from the 'lets leave animal production for later' approach and an immediate tackling of the problem and the threat of factory farming and industrial animal production that causes pandemics, diseases of civilisation, diseases of people living around factory farms, environmental damage and, consequently, health damage, antibiotic resistance.

Global health requires a strong multilateral approach. This approach must be further strengthened by making the WHO and global health initiatives more efficient, with effective governance and adequate financing, and ensuring full capacity for implementation. This includes a binding pandemic instrument and strengthened international health laws. An improved multilateral approach should ensure transparency, accountability, effectiveness—as well as enforceability of rules.

11. How can the EU support multilateralism with the WHO at its centre? (1000 characters maximum)

1000 character(s) maximum

By setting a strong example of action, reinforcing all policies for health, especially international agreements, which should refer to mutual obligations on human rights, animal rights, climate.

To further support multilateral work, the new strategy should strengthen bilateral cooperation (with non-EU countries) and plurilateral cooperation (with groups of countries, including the G7, G20 and OECD, and

develop strategic health partnerships with other regions in the world). This cooperation should be based on mutual responsibility and effectiveness. Cooperation with the US and the EU-African Union Summit of February 2022 have both laid the foundation for improved partnerships in health.

12. How can we best engage bilateral and plurilateral partners? Who are the key partners?

1000 character(s) maximum

The European Union should set itself ambitious goals and thus identify and demand concrete measures from its partners by shaping international agreements. At present, the huge risks of animal production relocation and thus antibiotic resistance and land degradation are associated with African countries and India. In the context of the accession of Ukraine, the EU should set itself very precise objectives and strengthen its actions with Ukraine and invest in strengthening civil society organisations and public participation in Ukraine.

Global philanthropic organisations take an increasing place in the dialogue on global health discussions, providing more funding than many countries do. A wide range of private and public players and global health initiatives have also emerged. The new strategy should ensure that EU and global efforts complement each other and avoid fragmentation and duplication.

13. How can we ensure efficiency and effectiveness when working with philanthropic organisations and other private and public players and initiatives?

1000 character(s) maximum

Transparency, alignment of values and objectives are key to transparent cooperation, taking into account human rights, animal rights and climate issues.

Part 4. Financing our strategy effectively and efficiently

Considerable financing is clearly necessary to address health challenges and problems – an issue unto itself given the resource context in the EU and abroad. The EU should improve coordinating and monitoring its spending to ensure transparency, consistency, proportionality and effectiveness in delivering its health policy goals.

14. Do you agree or disagree that there should be a more comprehensive mapping of all actors and finance strands in the EU and its Member States to monitor financial efforts and results?

- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree

15. Do you agree or disagree that there should be a mapping of all global health players and investments, their goals, results and other details, such as thematic or geographic goals?

- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree

16. Please let us know what other suggestions you have to improve transparency, consistency, proportionality and effectiveness in delivering our health policy goals.

1000 character(s) maximum

Part 5. Other comments and ideas

17. Please share any other comments or ideas

2000 character(s) maximum

Contact

Francisco.Perez-Canado@ec.europa.eu